

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/492,763	_01/27/2000	Eiko Masatsuji	Q54487	1343	
7590 01/28/2004 Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC 2100 Pennslyvania Ave N W Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER		
			KIM, VICKIE Y		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1614		
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004 .		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	No	Applicant/s)				
	Application		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/492,763		MASATSUJI ET AL.				
Office Action Gammary	Examin r		Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication of	Vickie Kim	nov r sho t with the o	1614	Idross			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r she t with the correspond nce address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no even apply within the statute d will apply and will oute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be timory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the top to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is nor	-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the since a specific reference was included in the since a specific referenc	nts have been nts have been iority documentau (PCT Rule st of the certific stic priority und first sentence corovisional appostic priority und	received. received in Application its have been received 17.2(a)). ed copies not received for 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of the specification or lication has been received for 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	I application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5		(PTO-413) Paper No(latent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/492,763

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al(EP884321).

The claims are drawn to a dermnal agent comprising a therapeutically effective amount of a compound which is represented by the formula 3(as shown in instant claim 1).

Suzuki et al(EP'321, hereafter) teach a cosmetic or medical preparations that contains L-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate zinc salt as an active ingredients, see examples 3-4 at page 6. Thus, all the critical elements required by the instant claims are taught by the cited reference.

EP'321 also teaches about the antimicrobial effect and excellent stability accompanied by the active agent(i.e. L-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate zinc salt), see test examples 1-2, at page 5. EP'321 further teaches the intended use of the composition as antidandruff or antiseptic agent due to the said antimicrobial activity and the liberation of the active species(i.e. L-ascorbic acid) in vivo, see page 2, lines 28 and page 3, lines 1-3. Regardless of the said teachings such as liberation of active species(i.e. L-ascorbic acid) or antimicrobial activity, it is noted that all the limitations

Application/Control Number: 09/492,763

Art Unit: 1614

recited in the claims 2-6 are met inherently by the composition taught in the cited reference.

Applicant is reminded again that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

For instance, EP'321 utilizes that the cosmetic or medical preparation(topical formulation) containing a therapeutically effective amount of same active agent(i.e. L-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate zinc salt). Whether the prior art mentions specifically about the antimicrobial effect against specific organisms, the said preparation would have been possessed the same antimicrobial activity when it is used topically into the skin.

Applicant is reminded that the claims are drawn to the composition and all the structural requirement is taught by the cited reference. Thus, the claims are met and not patentably distinct over the prior art of the record.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vickie Kim whose telephone number is 703-305-1675. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel

can be reached on 703-308-4725. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-3165 for regular communications and 703-746-3165 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Vickie Kim,

Primary Patent Examiner

Art unit 1614